



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,566	04/02/2001	Peter W. Laird	47675-18	2853
22504	7590 03/05/2004		EXAMINER	
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP 2600 CENTURY SQUARE			SITTON, JEHANNE SOUAYA	
1501 FOURTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98101-1688			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/825,566	LAIRD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jehanne Souaya Sitton	1634	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** 

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Carri	ba patent term adjustment. See or of the tribago.
Status	
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 April 2001</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	ion Papers
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s)  te of References Cited (PTO-892)  te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  tr No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  tr No(s)/Mail Date

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I-XX. Claims 1-20, drawn to a method of diagnosing cancer or cancer related conditions based on the methylation status of 20 different genes, singly or in combination, classified in class 435, subclass 6. Groups I-XX correspond to each gene. For example if group I is elected, the claims will be examined to the extent that they encompass the APC gene and primers for APC. Upon election of a gene, applicant is requested to specify which SEQ ID NOS correspond to the elected gene. If applicant wishes to elect a specific combination of genes, applicant is requested to indicate which specific combination of genes and corresponding primers is elected, and the claims will be examined as they apply to the specific combination of genes.
  - XXI-XL. Claims 21-24, drawn to a kit comprising primers or probes which hybridize to any region selected from the group of 20 different genes, singly or in combination, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3. Groups XXI-XL correspond to each gene listed in claim 21 as well as the specific sequences in claim 23 and 24 which correspond to a set of sequences, each set capable of hybridizing to one of 20 genes in claim 21. For example, of group XXI is elected, the claims will be examined to the extent that they encompass sequences that hybridize to the APC gene as well as the

Art Unit: 1634

corresponding set of specific sequences listed in claims 23 and 24. Upon election of a gene, applicant is requested to specify which SEQ ID NOS correspond to the elected gene. If applicant wishes to elect a specific combination of genes, applicant is requested to indicate which specific combination of genes and corresponding primers is elected, and the claims will be examined as they apply to the specific combination of genes.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. The inventions of Groups XXI-XL and I-XX are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids of Groups XXI-XL can be used to express polypeptides.
- 3. The inventions of groups I-XX are patentably distinct from each other because each method involves detecting the methylation pattern of patentably distinct genes which are unrelated. Further, the methods of diagnosing cancer using each patentably distinct gene are unobvious over one another. The inventions of Groups XXI-XL are patentably distinct from each other because they are directed to nucleic acid sequences that hybridize to patentably distinct genes. Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an

Art Unit: 1634

independent and distinct invention, subject to restriction requirement pursuant to 35 USC 121 and 37 CFR 1.141. By statute, "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions." 35 U.S.C. 121. Pursuant to this statute, the rules provide that "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in a single application, the examiner in his action shall require the applicant... to elect that invention to which his claim shall be restricted." 37 CFR 1.142 (a). See also 37 CFR 1.141(a). The search for more than one of the patentably distinct inventions set forth above, ie more than one gene or more than combination of specific genes, represents a significant search burden on the examiner and the Office.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, the search for Groups I is not required for Group XXI, and the search for Group XXI is not required for group XL, for example, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims (ie: group I from group XXI). Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is

presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** 

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 09/825,566 Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jehanne Sitton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0572. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272-0745. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Jehanne Sitton
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1634

3/4/04